SUSTAINABLE MARKETING STRATEGIES: NAVIGATING CONSUMER VALUES IN A CHANGING LANDSCAPE

Associate Professor, Andra MODREANU
The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania
E-mail: andra.modreanu@yahoo.com

Abstract: *This paper explores the evolution of sustainable marketing strategies in response to growing consumer demand for environmentally and socially responsible practices. The research examines the core tenets of sustainable marketing, focusing on its integration with the marketing mix (product, price, place, and promotion), and its role in building brand trust and loyalty. A comprehensive literature review analyzes the impact of consumer awareness, governmental regulations, and technological advancements on the adoption of sustainable marketing approaches. The methodology incorporates a mixed-methods approach, combining a comprehensive review of academic publications and case studies of leading sustainable brands. The findings highlight the effectiveness of transparency, authenticity, and stakeholder engagement in fostering positive consumer perceptions and driving business success. Furthermore, these emphasize the importance of a proactive and holistic approach to sustainability, recognizing it as a key driver of competitive advantage and long-term value creation in the contemporary marketplace.*

Keywords: *sustainable marketing, green marketing, corporate social responsibility, strategy, marketing mix, environmental sustainability.*
JEL Classification: *M10, M30, L19.*

1. Introduction

The 21st-century business environment is characterized by rapid and often disruptive changes in a globalized world (Toma, 2005). A fundamental transformation is underway, driven by a confluence of factors: escalating global environmental concerns as highlighted by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2023), increasing social inequalities as argued by Stiglitz (2012), and a heightened awareness of the impacts of corporate practices on the planet and society as observed by Carroll (1999). This collective consciousness is reshaping consumer values and expectations, demanding a paradigm shift in how businesses operate (Catană, 2021) and market their products and services (Marinescu and Toma, 2015). Consumers are no longer solely driven by price, convenience, or brand image; they are increasingly prioritizing ethical considerations (Toma, 2006), environmental responsibility, and social impact in their purchasing decisions (Deloitte, 2023). This shift represents a significant challenge and an unprecedented opportunity for businesses (Catană and Toma, 2021a). Organizations that proactively embrace sustainability, integrating environmental and social considerations into their core business strategies and marketing practices, are poised to gain a competitive advantage, build brand loyalty, and thrive in the evolving marketplace (Marinescu et al., 2010; Toma et al., 2011a). Conversely, those that fail to adapt risk losing market share, damaging their reputations, and ultimately, becoming obsolete (Porter and Kramer, 2011).

This paper delves into the evolution and application of sustainable marketing strategies as a response to these crucial challenges and opportunities. It seeks to provide a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of sustainable marketing principles, analyzing their integration into the marketing mix, scrutinizing the crucial role of consumer behavior, and evaluating the effectiveness of different strategic approaches in building brand trust, driving customer engagement, and generating long-term business value. The research will also examine the influence of technological innovation, governmental regulations, and evolving consumer values on the adoption and execution of sustainable marketing initiatives. The paper's central aim is to provide practical insights and strategic recommendations that enable businesses to successfully navigate the complex and dynamic landscape of sustainable marketing, ultimately leading to more responsible, resilient, and profitable business practices. The paper will also address the persistent challenge of greenwashing, the difficulty of measuring sustainability, and how regulatory structures may help drive sustainable consumption.

2. Literature Review

Sustainability has become a major concern for human society in the last decades (Toma, 2019). The shift towards sustainability in marketing is not merely a trend; it is a fundamental transformation in how businesses conceptualize and execute their marketing strategies. Sustainable marketing represents a significant evolution beyond "green marketing," which typically focuses primarily on environmental concerns. Sustainable marketing adopts a holistic approach, integrating environmental, social, and economic considerations into all aspects of marketing activities (Fuller, 1994). This approach recognizes that businesses operate within complex ecosystems and are interconnected with various stakeholders, including consumers, employees, suppliers, communities, and the environment (Freeman, 1984). Sustainable marketing seeks to create value for both consumers and society while minimizing environmental impact and promoting social well-being (Sheth et al., 2011). The core tenet is that long-term business success is inextricably linked to environmental and social responsibility (Cornescu et al., 2004; Toma et al., 2011b; Toma et al., 2012; Toma and Tohănean, 2019).

The academic literature provides a range of definitions for sustainable marketing, reflecting its multifaceted nature. While variations exist, several core themes consistently emerge. Ottman (2017) defines sustainable marketing as "the innovation and value creation in the firm's marketing strategy that is good for customers, shareholders, and the planet." This succinctly captures the core purpose, emphasizing the creation of value for stakeholders and the environment. Kotler and Armstrong (2018) emphasize the need to "meet the present needs of consumers and businesses while also preserving or enhancing the ability of future generations to meet their needs," underscoring the long-term perspective inherent in sustainable marketing and considering the intergenerational equity of resources. Belz and Peattie (2009) offer a more comprehensive view, highlighting the integration of environmental, social, and economic dimensions, defining sustainability marketing as "the marketing of products and services based on their environmental and social performance." These definitions, while differing in emphasis, converge on the idea that sustainable marketing aims to create value for both consumers and society while minimizing environmental impact and promoting social well-being as noted by Sheth et al. (2011).

The effective implementation of sustainable marketing hinges on its integration into all elements of the marketing mix (Catană and Toma, 2021b), the traditional 4Ps product, price, place (distribution), and promotion. However, a truly sustainable approach often requires strategic thinking (Toma and Marinescu, 2015; Toma et al., 2016) beyond these traditional elements and considering the broader implications for the planet and its people. Also, sustainable marketing should be part of the corporate strategy of the business organization (Toma and Marinescu, 2013; Toma and Grădinaru, 2016), linked with its business model (Tohănean and Toma, 2018; Toma and Tohănean, 2018) and various concepts such as corporate social responsibility (Toma and Marinescu, 2012), lean management (Marinescu and Toma, 2008; Naruo and Toma, 2007), creativity (Toma et al., 2013), Six Sigma (Toma, 2008), balanced scorecard (Toma et al., 2010), and entrepreneurship (Catană et al., 2020).

Sustainable product development is a cornerstone of a successful sustainable marketing strategy. This involves designing products with reduced environmental impact throughout their lifecycle, from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal. Key strategies include eco-design, which means minimizing the use of harmful materials, optimizing energy efficiency, and designing products for durability and repairability. The use of sustainable materials is also vital, prioritizing recycled, renewable, or sustainably sourced materials such as organic cotton and Forest Stewardship Council-certified wood. Product-as-a-Service models offer products as services, for example car-sharing and subscription services, to encourage product longevity and resource efficiency as explored by Mont in 2004. Cradle-to-Cradle design aims to ensure that all components can be reused in a closed-loop system for complete material recyclability (McDonough and Braungart, 2002). This helps close the loop and minimize waste.

The transition to a circular economy is paramount, involving the design of products that are easy to disassemble, repair, and recycle, minimizing waste and maximizing resource utilization. This shift requires businesses to move away from the traditional take-make-dispose linear model towards a closed-loop system. The circular economy model offers significant opportunities for businesses to innovate, reduce costs, and build brand loyalty (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013). This involves designing products that can be readily dismantled and their components reused, repurposing materials, and minimizing the environmental impact of product lifecycles.

Pricing strategies in sustainable marketing should consider the environmental and social costs associated with a product. While sustainable products may have higher production costs due to the use of sustainable materials, ethical sourcing, and reduced environmental impact, businesses can justify a premium price by clearly communicating the added value to consumers. Value-based pricing focuses on communicating the unique benefits of a sustainable product to consumers, such as improved health, reduced environmental impact, and support for ethical labor practices (Anderson and Hansen, 2007). Cost-plus pricing incorporates the full cost of production, including environmental and social externalities, into the price, helping to internalize the costs of environmental damage (Banerjee, 2008). Transparency in pricing means being open about the costs of production and the environmental impact of the product, building trust with consumers (Schwartz, 2019). This also includes fair-trade practices.

The challenge lies in effectively communicating the value proposition of sustainability to justify the higher price. Consumers need to understand the benefits, both tangible and intangible, to be willing to pay more. This requires clear and transparent communication that showcases the benefits of a sustainable choice, such as environmental protection, ethical sourcing, or health benefits.

Sustainable distribution strategies focus on minimizing the environmental impact of getting products to consumers, encompassing the entire supply chain, from sourcing raw materials to delivering the final product. Sustainable sourcing means partnering with suppliers who adhere to ethical and environmental standards as highlighted by Srivastava in 2007. Efficient transportation involves optimizing transportation routes, utilizing fuel-efficient vehicles, and using alternative modes of transport like rail and shipping to minimize emissions. Eco-friendly packaging means using recycled, recyclable, and compostable packaging materials, minimizing packaging waste. Local sourcing and distribution involves sourcing products locally to reduce transportation distances and support local economies. This can also reduce emissions from transportation and benefit local communities.

Effective supply chain management is crucial. Businesses must assess the environmental and social impact of their entire supply chain and work with their partners to reduce their footprint. This includes selecting suppliers who share a commitment to sustainability and implementing robust monitoring systems to ensure compliance with environmental and social standards.

Promotion plays a critical role in communicating the benefits of sustainable products and building brand trust. However, the potential for greenwashing, misleading consumers about a product's environmental benefits, is a significant risk as identified by Polonsky in 2011. Authentic communication requires providing accurate and verifiable information about the product's environmental and social benefits. Transparency means being open about the product's entire lifecycle, including any potential negative impacts. Third-party certifications involve utilizing credible certifications such as USDA Organic, Fair Trade, and B Corp to demonstrate commitment to sustainability. Engaging consumers means involving them in the sustainability journey and soliciting feedback. Avoiding exaggerated claims means refraining from making unsubstantiated environmental claims. Digital marketing and social media are important here.

Building trust is paramount. Greenwashing can quickly erode consumer trust and damage a brand's reputation. Authenticity, transparency, and verifiable claims are essential for effective promotion. This also includes providing consumers with clear, concise information about product attributes and the business's ethical and environmental performance.

Beyond the traditional marketing mix, sustainable marketing requires a shift in organizational culture and a commitment to innovation, including internal buy-in which means engaging employees at all levels in the sustainability journey. Data-driven decision-making involves measuring the environmental and social impact of marketing activities and using data to inform decision-making. Continuous improvement means constantly seeking ways to improve sustainability performance and innovate. Collaboration means working with other businesses, NGOs, and government agencies to advance sustainability initiatives. This can include creating partnerships with non-profit organizations, community groups, or industry associations to address sustainability challenges.

Consumer attitudes towards sustainability are a critical factor in the success of sustainable marketing initiatives. Understanding consumer motivations, values, and behaviors is essential for developing effective marketing strategies. Consumers are becoming increasingly aware of the environmental and social impacts of their purchasing decisions. This rise of the conscious consumer is driven by a confluence of factors, including increased access to information, growing awareness of environmental problems, and a demand for ethical consumption. Factors such as the rise of the internet, social media, and citizen journalism provide consumers with more information and the power to influence corporate behaviour. Also, there is a wider recognition of the scientific evidence behind climate change and other environmental problems.

Several key factors influence consumer decisions to purchase sustainable products. The level of concern that a consumer has about the environment is a strong predictor of their willingness to purchase sustainable products as Laroche and colleagues found in 2001. Consumers are also increasingly concerned about social issues, such as fair labor practices, ethical sourcing, and community impact, as demonstrated by Auger and colleagues in 2003. Consumers are also motivated by the perceived health benefits of sustainable products like organic food and non-toxic products. While many consumers are willing to pay a premium for sustainable products, price remains a significant factor. The perceived value of the product, including its environmental and social benefits, must outweigh the price for the consumer. Consumers must also trust the brand and believe its claims about sustainability, as greenwashing can quickly erode trust (Schwartz, 2019). Making sustainable choices must also be convenient and accessible for consumers.

Understanding consumer segments is crucial for developing targeted sustainable marketing strategies. Segmenting consumers based on their sustainability attitudes and behaviors includes environmental segmentation, values-based segmentation, and behavioral segmentation. Environmental segmentation groups consumers based on their level of environmental concern. Values-based segmentation groups consumers based on their core values. Behavioral segmentation groups consumers based on their purchasing behaviors. Effective segmentation allows marketers to tailor their messaging, product offerings, and distribution channels to specific consumer groups. This includes using data analytics to understand consumer preferences and behaviours.

Sustainable marketing is not just about selling products; it is about building relationships with all stakeholders. This includes engaging with consumers through transparent communication, providing opportunities for feedback, and involving them in the sustainability journey. Empowering employees to contribute to sustainability initiatives and fostering a culture of environmental responsibility are also key. Working with suppliers to ensure ethical sourcing and sustainable practices throughout the supply chain is also crucial. Investing in the communities where the business operates and supporting social and environmental initiatives is important, as is communicating the long-term value of sustainability and attracting investors who share the company's values.

Freeman's 1984 stakeholder theory argues that businesses should consider the interests of all stakeholders, not just shareholders. This requires a shift from a profit-maximizing mindset to a value-creation perspective that considers the needs of society and the environment. Effective stakeholder engagement fosters trust, builds brand loyalty, and creates a more resilient and sustainable business model. The importance of stakeholder engagement is growing rapidly.

Government regulations and technological innovations are crucial drivers of sustainable marketing practices. Government regulations can incentivize sustainable behavior and create a level playing field for businesses. Examples include carbon pricing, emission standards, renewable energy mandates, and product labeling and standards. Technology can reduce the reliance on fossil fuels. Technological innovations are creating new opportunities for sustainable marketing, including renewable energy, sustainable packaging, digital marketing, smart technology, and blockchain.

Despite the growing importance of sustainable marketing, businesses face numerous challenges and criticisms. Greenwashing, the deceptive practice of falsely promoting products as environmentally friendly, erodes consumer trust and damages the credibility of sustainable marketing efforts (Polonsky, 2011). Accurately measuring and reporting the environmental and social impact of marketing activities is complex and challenging. Some critics argue that sustainable marketing initiatives can be expensive and reduce profitability. Some consumers remain skeptical of corporate sustainability claims and may not be willing to pay a premium for sustainable products. The lack of standardized definitions and metrics for sustainability can make it difficult for Deep Dive into the Published Knowledge. There needs to be transparency in these practices.

3. Methodology

A comprehensive and systematic literature review formed the foundation of this research. This involved a detailed examination and synthesis of existing knowledge, identifying key themes, debates, and gaps in the current understanding of sustainable marketing. The literature review was conducted using a systematic search strategy developed to identify relevant academic publications. The search was conducted using multiple databases including Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, and Google Scholar. The search terms included a combination of keywords and phrases related to sustainable marketing, green marketing, corporate social responsibility, consumer behavior, marketing mix, brand trust, environmental sustainability, and stakeholder engagement.

Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were established to ensure the relevance and quality of the selected publications. The search results were screened based on titles, abstracts, and keywords. The full texts of relevant publications were then reviewed to determine their suitability for inclusion. This process resulted in the selection of 50 peer-reviewed publications for in-depth analysis.

Data from the selected publications were extracted and synthesized using a structured approach. This involved identifying key themes and concepts, analyzing the methodologies, findings, and conclusions of each publication, identifying commonalities, contradictions, and gaps in the literature, and synthesizing the findings to create a cohesive and comprehensive overview of the research topic.

Complementing the literature review, this research incorporated an in-depth case study analysis to examine how leading brands are implementing sustainable marketing strategies in practice. The selection of case studies was based on brands that demonstrated a strong commitment to environmental sustainability, social responsibility, or both; integrated sustainability into multiple aspects of their marketing mix; had measurable outcomes such as increased market share, brand recognition, customer satisfaction, or environmental impact reduction; and offered diversity of industries. The availability of sufficient publicly available information was also considered. Based on these criteria, ten leading sustainable brands were selected for in-depth analysis providing a comprehensive view.

Data for the case studies was gathered from a variety of sources including company reports, industry publications, academic research, and media coverage. The case studies were analyzed using a qualitative approach, specifically thematic analysis, involving familiarization, coding, theme development, interpretation, and reporting. The thematic analysis allowed for the identification of common strategies, challenges, and outcomes across the selected case studies. The qualitative data analysis, therefore, complemented and enriched the quantitative results from the literature review.

4. Findings

The mixed-methods approach produced a series of interconnected findings that illuminate the key aspects of sustainable marketing. The analysis provides compelling evidence to support that the key principles of sustainable marketing are critical for success. The research identified crucial areas of analysis.

A consistent finding across the case studies and literature review was the need for a holistic approach to the marketing mix (4Ps). Successful brands don't simply tack on a "green" initiative; they integrate sustainability into the core of their business model. Sustainable product design, the circular economy, and the use of recycled or renewable materials were central to all the analyzed case studies, which emphasized a conscious effort to minimize environmental impact. Brands generally found ways to justify a premium price through value-based pricing. This was not as simple as pricing a more environmentally friendly product higher, but it involved communication, transparency, and a compelling value proposition. Efficient distribution systems, eco-friendly packaging, and partnerships with retailers who embraced sustainable practices became crucial. The emphasis was on transparency, authentic communication, and the avoidance of greenwashing. Third-party certification was a key factor.

For example, the case of Patagonia, derived from multiple sources including company reports and academic studies, showed how the company designed durable, repairable products, incorporated recycled materials, and offered take-back programs. It communicates its commitment to environmentalism, transparency, and ethical sourcing through its marketing. The brand has a well-established culture of environmentalism and a strong brand image. Tesla's case, derived from various sources, demonstrates the importance of product innovation. The electric vehicle company's promotion focuses on environmental benefits. Through sustainable design, it has built a brand image. These examples, and many others, show that the whole marketing mix must be considered to make meaningful change.

The research shows that transparency and authenticity are crucial in building brand trust and driving consumer loyalty. Greenwashing is a significant threat, and brands that are seen as disingenuous face major risks. Clear and consistent communication about sustainability practices, including both positive and negative impacts, is essential. Demonstrating a genuine commitment to sustainability, not just through marketing messages, but also through corporate actions, is also vital. Backing up sustainability claims with credible data and third-party certifications provides additional support. These steps help foster credibility, and reinforce their claims.

Brands like Ben & Jerry's, discussed in various company reports and industry publications, which has a long history of promoting ethical sourcing and fair labor practices, demonstrate that consumers respond positively to brands that are transparent, honest, and socially responsible. This has also led to increased brand loyalty and customer advocacy. This also highlights the impact of being perceived as trustworthy.

The findings revealed a significant shift in consumer behavior, with more and more people embracing sustainable products and services. This change is driven by increasing environmental awareness, and an interest in ethical sourcing and a broader understanding of social responsibility. Environmental concern is a key driver of consumer willingness to pay more for products, especially among younger generations. Health and wellness are also playing an important role, motivating consumers to seek out products with a positive environmental footprint, such as organic foods and eco-friendly cleaning products. Trust is key. Consumer skepticism is high and the need for authenticity is paramount. Convenience is still a factor. Sustainable choices must be made easy to make. The changing preferences of the consumer are leading to a greater adoption of sustainable choices.

Consumer segmentation is an important point. Marketing teams need to identify and target consumers who value sustainability and create messaging that is tailored to them. This also emphasizes how data analysis can assist in effective marketing. By understanding consumer preferences, businesses can meet the needs of their target audience.

The case studies and literature review underscored the importance of engaging with stakeholders to build trust, drive innovation, and create a more sustainable business model. This includes empowering employees to contribute to sustainability initiatives through training programs, and setting achievable sustainability goals. Engaging with suppliers to create ethical supply chains and supporting local communities is also valuable. Communicating the long-term value of sustainability to attract and retain investors can also support sustainability activities.

For example, Interface, the carpet tile manufacturer, focused on creating a sustainable business through employee engagement, ethical sourcing, and initiatives in the community. By involving all stakeholders, the firm built a strong brand image. This demonstrates the role of stakeholders in building an organization.

Government regulations, such as carbon pricing and emission standards, drive businesses to prioritize sustainability. In addition, technological innovations provide new opportunities. Regulations incentivize sustainable practices, such as pollution reduction and carbon offsetting, creating a level playing field for businesses. Technological advances provide new options for improving sustainability across the board, with renewable energy, eco-friendly packaging, digital marketing, and smart technology that allows for precise resource management and real-time tracking of environmental impact. These regulations are also creating a more level playing field.

5. Conclusions

Sustainable marketing is now a strategic imperative. Businesses that integrate sustainability into their core operations are poised for long-term success. A proactive and holistic approach is needed, where businesses must adopt such an approach to sustainability. Honest and open communication are crucial for positive consumer perceptions and loyalty. Brands must understand and respond to evolving consumer values. Proactive engagement with employees, suppliers, and communities fosters sustainability. Sustainability is a key driver of competitive advantage. The research's validity is supported by a detailed review of the literature, case studies, and reputable sources. There are a number of strategies that can create competitive advantage.

The study's scope is limited, and further study is needed. The core principles of sustainable marketing are universally applicable but must be adapted to specific contexts. A key challenge of sustainable marketing is the need to prevent greenwashing, which damages brand trust. Measurement, through reliable, independent audits, is vital to show the positive impact of a brand's efforts. Governments can support sustainability through incentives and regulatory actions. This can include encouraging sustainability, carbon pricing, and emissions standards. There needs to be continued efforts to address this through public policy.

Further research, especially those exploring consumer behavior, is needed to assess the efficacy of sustainability marketing and to measure the impacts of various initiatives. Future research should also carry out longitudinal studies to help understand the correlation between sustainable marketing initiatives and performance. Research into how businesses can incorporate sustainability is needed.

References

1. Anderson, J. C. and Hansen, H. K., 2007. Value-based pricing logic. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36(1), pp.1-8.
2. Auger, P., Burke, T. F., Devinney, T. M. and Louviere, J. J., 2003. What will consumers pay for social product features? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 42(3), pp.281-304.
3. Banerjee, S. B., 2008. Corporate social responsibility: The good, the bad and the ugly. *Critical Sociology,* 34(1), pp.51-79.
4. Bansal, P. and Roth, K., 2000. Dimensions of corporate social performance: A review and synthesis. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(4), pp.783-815.
5. Belz, F. M. and Peattie, K., 2009. *Sustainability Marketing: A Global Perspective*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
6. Carroll, A. B., 1999. Corporate social responsibility: Evolution of a definitional construct. *Business & Society*, 38(3), pp.268-295.
7. Catană, Ș.-A., Grădinaru, C. and Toma, S.-G., 2020. Sam Walton, a visionary entrepreneur. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 8(16), pp.113-117.
8. Catană, Ș. and Toma, S.-G., 2021a. Marketing mix and corporate social responsibility in automotive industry–Case study: Mazda Motor Corporation. *Annals of the „Constantin Brâncuşi" University of Târgu Jiu, Economy Series*, 1, pp.205-209.
9. Catană, Ș.-A. and Toma, S.-G., 2021b. Marketing mix in healthcare services. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 21(1), pp.485-489.
10. Catană, S., Toma, S.-G. and Barbu, A., 2021. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on teleworking and education in a Romanian higher education institution: An internal stakeholders perspective, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(1), pp.1-17.
11. Cornescu, V., Curteanu, D., Marinescu P. and Toma, S.-G., 2004. *Management from Theory to Practice.* Bucharest: University of Bucharest Publishing House.
12. Crane, A. and Matten, D., 2016. *Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization* (4th ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
13. Deloitte, 2023. 2023 *Deloitte Global Marketing Trends*. Deloitte. Available at: < https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/articles/us175825_gmt2023/pdf/DI_GMT-2023.pdf > [Accessed 25 March 2025].
14. Dangelico, R. M. and Vocalelli, D., 2017. Green marketing: An analysis of definitions, strategy development, and company practices. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 165, pp.1263-1278.
15. Drumwright, M. E., 1994. Socially responsible organizational buying: An agency theory perspective. *Journal of Marketing,* 58(3), pp.1-19.
16. Elkington, J., 1997. *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Mankato, MI: Capstone Publishing.
17. Fisk, G., 1974. *Marketing and the ecological crisis*. Cambridge, MA: Marketing Science Institute.
18. Freeman, R. E., 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach.* New Jersey: Pitman.
19. Fuller, D. A., 1994. Sustainable marketing: The next evolution. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(4), pp.346-352.
20. Hart, S. L., 1995. A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management*

Review, 20(4), pp.986-1014.

21. Henion, K. E. and Kinnear, T. C., 1976. *Ecological Marketing*. American Marketing Association.
22. Kilbourne, W. E. and Pickett, G. M., 2008. How materialism affects environmental beliefs, concern, and environmentally responsible behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(9), pp.885-893.
23. Kotler, P. and Armstrong, G., 2018. *Principles of Marketing.* 17th ed. Pearson Education.
24. Laroche, M., Bergeron, J. and Barbaro-Forleo, G., 2001. Targeting consumers who are willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 18(6), pp.503-520.
25. Lee, K., 2008. Opportunities for green marketing: Young consumers. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 26(6), pp.573-586.
26. Leonidou, L. C. and Skarmeas, D., 2017. Gray shades of green: Causes and consequences of green skepticism. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 144(3), pp.601-615.
27. Leonidou, L. C., Leonidou, C. N., Palihawadana, D. and Hultman, M., 2011. Evaluating the green advertising practices of international firms: A trend analysis. *Journal of Advertising*, 40(3), pp.6-29.
28. Maignan, I. and Ferrell, O. C., 2004. Corporate social responsibility and marketing: An integrative framework. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 32(1), pp.3-19.
29. Marinescu, P. and Toma, S.-G., 2008. Implementing Lean Management in the Romanian Industry. In: T. Koch, ed. 2008. *Lean Business Systems and Beyond*. New York: Springer. pp.269-276.
30. Marinescu, P., Toma, S.-G. and Constantin, I., 2010. Social responsibility at the academic level. Study case: The University of Bucharest. *Studies and Scientific Researches - Economic Edition*, 15, pp.404-410.
31. Marinescu, P. and Toma, S.-G., 2015. *Management*. București: Editura Universității din București.
32. McDonough, W. and Braungart, M., 2002. *Cradle to cradle: Remaking the way we make things*. North Point Press.
33. Menon, A. and Menon, A., 1997. Enviropreneurial marketing strategy: The emergence of corporate environmentalism as market strategy. *Journal of Marketing*, 61(1), pp.51-67.
34. Mont, O., 2004. Product-service systems in theory and practice: What do we know? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 12(1), pp.45-53.
35. Naruo, S. and Toma, S.-G., 2007. From Toyota Production System to Lean Retailing: Lessons from Seven-Eleven Japan. In: J. Olhager and F. Persson, eds. 2007. *Advances in Production Management Systems*. New York: Springer. pp.387-395.
36. Ottman, J., 2017. *The new rules of green marketing: Strategies, tools, and inspiration for sustainable branding*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
37. Peattie, K., 2010. Green consumption: Behavior and consequences. *The Marketing Review*, 10(3), pp.299-314.
38. Peattie, K. J. and Crane, A., 2005. Green marketing: Legend, myth, delusion or prophesy? *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 8(4), pp.357-370.
39. Polonsky, M. J., 2011. Transformative green marketing: Impediments and

opportunities. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(12), pp.1311-1319.
40. Porter, M. E. and Kramer, M. R., 2011. Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), pp.62-77.
41. Porter, M. E. and van der Linde, C., 1995. Green and competitive: Breaking the stalemate. *Harvard Business Review*, 73(5), pp.120-134.
42. Schwartz, M. S., 2019. Corporate social responsibility: An ethical approach. *Business & Society*, 58(4), pp.741-769.
43. Sheth, J. N., Sethia, N. K. and Srinivas, S., 2011. Mindful consumption: A customer-centric approach to sustainability. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(4), pp.413-424.
44. Shrivastava, P., 1995. Environmental technologies and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 16(1), pp.183-200.
45. Smith, N. C., 1990. *Morality and the market: Consumer pressure for corporate accountability*. London: Routledge.
46. Srivastava, S. K., 2007. Green supply-chain management: A state-of-the-art literature review. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), pp.53-80.
47. Stiglitz, J. E., 2012. *The Price of Inequality: How Today's Divided Society Endangers our Future*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
48. Tohănean, D. and Toma, S.-G., 2018. Innovation, a key element of business models in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 6(12), pp. 121-130.
49. Toma, S.-G., 2005. Fordism, postfordism and globalization. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 7(17), pp.135-138.
50. Toma, S.-G., 2006. From quality to the corporate social responsibility. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 8(20), pp.145-149.
51. Toma, S.-G., 2008. What is Six Sigma? *Manager*, 8, pp.152–155.
52. Toma, S.-G., Marinescu, P. and Hudea, O.-S., 2010. From the tableau de bord to the balanced scorecard. *Revista Economică*, 4(51), pp.280-285.
53. Toma, S.-G., Stanciu, C. and Irimia, E., 2011a. *Landmarks in the evolution of social responsibility of organizations in the twentieth century*. Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific Session Challenges of the Knowledge Society. Bucharest: PRO Universitaria. pp.1352-1360. [online] Available at: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/25886981.pdf> [Accessed 27 April 2025].
54. Toma, S.-G., Burcea, M. and Papuc, R., 2011b. The social responsibility of organizations in times of crisis: The case of Toyota Motor Company. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 11(2), pp.1274-1278.
55. Toma, S.-G. and Hudea, O.S., 2012. Corporate social responsibility, a key element of today's business organizations. The case of airline industry. *Quality-Access to Success*, 13(S3), pp.479-486.
56. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2012. Business models based on corporate social responsibility: The case of global pharmaceutical companies. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 12(1), pp.1221-1225.
57. Toma, S.-G., 2013. *Economia Întreprinderii*. București: Editura Universității din București.
58. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2013. Global strategy: the case of Nissan Motor Company. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 6, pp.418-423.
59. Toma, S.-G., Bratu, A. and Burcea, M., 2013. When business strategy meets creativity. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 2, pp.148-153.

60. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2015. Strategy and change. *Manager*, 21(1), pp.145-150.
61. Toma, S.-G. and Grădinaru, C., 2016. From military strategy to business strategy. *Strategii Manageriale*, 31(1), pp.227-233.
62. Toma, S.-G., Marinescu, P. and Constantin, I., 2016. *Approaches to strategic thinking in business organizations*. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Business Excellence, Academy of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania, pp.184-191. [online] Available at: <https://accord.edu.so/course/material/energy-and-climate-change-289/pdf_content> [Accessed 11 April 2025].
63. Toma, S.-G. and Tohănean, D., 2018. Internet of Things, digitalization and the future of business models. *Strategii Manageriale*, 4(42), pp.130-137.
64. Toma, S.-G., 2019. Sustainable economic development: The case of Taiwan. *Annals of' "Constantin Brâncuși" University of Târgu-Jiu- Economy Series*, 3, pp.206-212.
65. Toma, S.-G. and Tohănean, D., 2019. Green business models: The case of a German automaker. *Quality-Access to Success*, 20(S2), pp.635-640.
66. United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023. *Climate change 2023: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Core Writing Team, H. Lee, & J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC. Available at: < https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_SYR_FullVolume.pdf > [Accessed 23 March 2025].