THE LINK BETWEEN SUSTAINABILITY AND CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Associate Professor, Andra MODREANU
The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania
E-mail: andra.modreanu@yahoo.com
Ph.D., Gabriela Nicoleta ANDRISAN
The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania
E-mail: gandrisan31@gmail.com

Abstract: *This article investigates the nexus between sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR), seeking to elucidate the intricate interrelationships between these concepts and their resultant impacts on contemporary business practices. Amidst growing societal concerns regarding pressing environmental and social challenges, sustainability has emerged as a central consideration within strategic business decision-making, while CSR has concurrently evolved into a critical mechanism for organizations to effectively address their multifaceted responsibilities towards both society and the environment. Through a comprehensive review of the extant literature, this study examines the diverse ways in which sustainability and CSR have been integrated into corporate strategic frameworks, assessing their consequential implications for various dimensions of business performance. Furthermore, this article investigates how strategically designed CSR initiatives can contribute directly to the long-term sustainability of business enterprises, paying particular attention to the instrumental roles of proactive stakeholder engagement, robust environmental stewardship, and consistently ethical business practices. The findings underscore the essential and mutually reinforcing link between sustainability and CSR, suggesting that their effective and synergistic integration contributes to the development of sustainable competitive advantage and the enhancement of overall corporate reputation. The study concludes by offering concrete recommendations for organizations seeking to strategically align their CSR activities with overarching sustainability goals, thereby enabling them to achieve broader and more impactful positive societal and environmental outcomes.*

Key words: *sustainability, corporate social responsibility, competitive advantage, environmental stewardship, ethical practices, corporate governance.*
JEL Classification: *M10, M30, L19.*

1. Introduction

In recent years, the concepts of sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR) have ascended to prominence in both academic discourse and business practice. Sustainability, defined as the capacity of organizations to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs, centers on the interconnected environmental, social, and economic dimensions of organizational activity (Brundtland, 1987). CSR, conversely, represents a broader framework encompassing the ethical and societal obligations incumbent upon businesses, extending beyond mere adherence to legal and financial stipulations to encompass a commitment to responsible conduct towards stakeholders and the environment (Carroll, 1999). As these two constructs have matured, a growing recognition has emerged regarding their inherent interconnectedness, with social responsibility initiatives increasingly serving as strategic mechanisms (Marinescu et al., 2010) through which organizations can effectively pursue and achieve their overarching sustainability goals (Toma et al., 2011a; Catană and Toma, 2021a). This paper seeks to critically examine the multifaceted link between sustainability and CSR, with a particular focus on delineating how organizations can effectively integrate both constructs into their strategic frameworks to simultaneously enhance long-term viability and generate demonstrable social value.

The impetus for this research stems from the growing recognition that the dynamic interplay between sustainability and CSR exerts profound implications for corporate governance structures, the nature and quality of stakeholder engagement practices, and ultimately, overall business performance outcomes (Toma, 2013; Marinescu and Toma, 2015). Contemporary organizations are increasingly being held accountable not only for their traditional financial metrics, but also for the broader environmental and social consequences arising from their operational activities (Toma, 2006; Toma et al., 2011b; Toma and Hudea, 2012). Within this evolving context, the strategic integration of sustainability principles into core CSR practices is no longer simply a matter of ethical or moral obligation; rather, it represents a strategic imperative for businesses that seek to maintain a competitive edge and thrive within the complexities of the modern marketplace (Elkington, 1997). The intensifying emphasis on addressing pressing environmental concerns, upholding rigorous ethical business practices, and promoting meaningful social equity has collectively fostered an environment wherein CSR and sustainability are no longer viewed as optional add-ons to corporate strategy but as integral components that are fundamental to organizational success and long-term value creation in the age of globalization (Cornescu et al., 2004; Toma, 2005), characterized by innovation (Tohănean and Toma, 2018), digitalization (Toma and Tohănean, 2018), and uncessant change (Toma and Marinescu, 2015; Catană et al., 2021).

This article enriches the existing scholarly literature with a nuanced and rigorous analysis of the intertwined relationship between sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR). It critically examines the ways in which established sustainability principles can effectively guide the strategic design and facilitate the successful operationalization of impactful CSR initiatives within organizations. Furthermore, it investigates how specific CSR activities can contribute tangibly to the attainment of organizations' overarching sustainability objectives in contemporary business practice. Through a comprehensive synthesis of current industry practices, prevailing theoretical models, and proven practical implementation models, this study provides actionable insights into both the challenges and strategic opportunities associated with the synergistic integration of these increasingly vital concepts.

2. Literature Review

The literature pertaining to sustainability and CSR has expanded significantly in recent decades, reflecting the escalating importance of these issues within the global business environment. The relationship between sustainability and CSR is multifaceted and complex, with diverse scholars offering varying perspectives on the precise nature of their interconnectedness.

One of the seminal frameworks for understanding CSR is Carroll's (1991) pyramid of CSR, which posits that businesses operate under a hierarchy of four distinct levels of responsibility: economic, legal, ethical, and philanthropic. While Carroll's model underscores the importance of adhering to both legal mandates and ethical considerations, it does not explicitly address the critical environmental and social dimensions that constitute the core of sustainability. In contrast, other scholars, such as Elkington (1997), have advanced alternative frameworks that directly link CSR with sustainability, emphasizing the necessity for businesses to achieve a strategic equilibrium between economic imperatives, environmental stewardship, and social considerations within their operational activities. Elkington's Triple Bottom Line (TBL) concept has proven particularly influential in bridging the conceptual divide between CSR and sustainability, as it stresses the importance of evaluating corporate performance not solely on the basis of financial outcomes but also through a comprehensive assessment of both social and environmental impacts. CSR is not only part of the corporate strategy (Toma and Marinescu, 2013; Toma and Grădinaru, 2016; Toma et al., 2016) and business model of a business organization (Toma and Marinescu, 2012; Toma and Tohănean, 2019) but also strongly connected with other concepts, such as marketing mix (Catană and Toma, 2021b), Six Sigma (Toma, 2008), lean management (Naruo and Toma, 2007; Marinescu and Toma, 2008), balanced scorecard (Toma et al., 2010), creativity (Toma et al., 2013) and entrepreneurship (Catană et al., 2020).

Sustainability has progressively transitioned from a peripheral concern to a central and integral aspect of both economic development (Toma, 2019) and strategic business planning. Scholars such as Freeman (1984) and Porter and Kramer (2011) have contended that organizations can effectively create shared value by proactively addressing salient social and environmental issues through their core business activities, integrating societal well-being with economic profitability. In this nuanced perspective, CSR and sustainability are viewed not as discrete entities but as intrinsically complementary components of a broader, integrated business strategy that aims to generate value for both shareholders and a wider array of stakeholders. Porter and Kramer (2011) specifically elaborate on the concept of "strategic CSR," wherein companies align their CSR initiatives with their core business objectives, thereby creating mutually beneficial outcomes for both the organization and the broader societal context in which it operates. This strategic alignment, they argue persuasively, can yield a sustainable competitive advantage and contribute significantly to the long-term success and resilience of the organization.

A significant body of literature also centers on stakeholder theory, which asserts that businesses must consider and strategically manage the diverse interests of all relevant stakeholders, encompassing employees, customers, suppliers, and the broader community, in their core decision-making processes (Freeman, 1984). Within this framework, sustainability and CSR are viewed as critical instruments for engaging with and effectively responding to stakeholder concerns, with the overarching goal of cultivating and maintaining positive, mutually beneficial relationships and ensuring the long-term organizational success and resilience of the enterprise. Consequently, sustainability is construed not merely as a matter of mitigating environmental or addressing societal impacts, but as a fundamental component of ensuring the organization's ability to thrive and prosper over the long term through the proactive fulfillment of stakeholder needs and the diligent management of their evolving expectations.

In recent years, the concept of corporate governance has become inextricably linked to the domains of sustainability and CSR, representing a critical area of convergence. Effective and robust governance structures are essential for ensuring that CSR activities are strategically aligned with broader sustainability goals and are implemented in a manner that generates demonstrable value for both the organization itself and the wider society in which it operates. Empirical studies have consistently demonstrated that companies with well-defined and effectively enforced governance frameworks are significantly more likely to adopt sustainable business practices and engage in CSR initiatives that yield a positive and measurable impact on both the environment and society (Bhagat and Bolton, 2008).

Finally, the crucial role of transparent reporting mechanisms in linking sustainability and CSR initiatives has garnered considerable scholarly attention. Scholars contend that organizations must disclose their sustainability efforts and CSR activities in a transparent, accessible, and verifiable manner, thereby enabling stakeholders to effectively hold them accountable for their actions and commitments (Gray et al., 1995). Standardized reporting frameworks, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), have become instrumental in guiding businesses in their sustainability and CSR reporting practices, facilitating a more standardized and comparable approach to measuring, evaluating, and communicating corporate social and environmental performance to a diverse range of stakeholders (Kolk, 2003).

3. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative research methodology to investigate the nuanced interrelationship between sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR). The research design is predicated on a comprehensive and systematic review of the extant literature, focusing primarily on peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and authoritative reports emanating from reputable sources within the domains of business ethics, sustainability studies, and CSR research. The selection of relevant literature was guided by its direct pertinence to the central research question and its demonstrable contribution to a deeper understanding of the intersection between sustainability and CSR practices.

The systematic review process entailed a critical synthesis of existing theoretical frameworks and established models that address the complex relationship between sustainability and CSR. This was complemented by an in-depth examination of meticulously documented case studies and rigorous empirical research pertaining to organizations that have successfully integrated sustainability considerations into their overarching CSR strategies. This multi-faceted approach facilitated a more profound understanding of the practical implications arising from the strategic alignment of CSR initiatives with broader organizational sustainability goals across a diverse range of organizational contexts.

The research also incorporated a comparative analysis of CSR initiatives implemented across various industry sectors, with a particular emphasis on organizations that have received industry recognition for their demonstrated leadership in the area of sustainability. This comparative analytical framework served to identify both best practices and common challenges encountered by organizations in their endeavors to align CSR activities with clearly defined sustainability objectives. The findings derived from the comprehensive literature review were subsequently subjected to rigorous analysis to formulate well-supported conclusions regarding the impact of CSR on long-term organizational sustainability and to identify the key factors that contribute to the successful and effective integration of these two critical concepts.

4. Findings

The findings of this investigation indicate that the strategic integration of sustainability principles into CSR initiatives exerts a significant and positive influence on an organization’s long-term viability and its capacity to achieve a sustainable competitive advantage. Organizations that successfully align their CSR activities with clearly defined sustainability objectives tend to experience a confluence of benefits, including an enhanced corporate reputation, elevated levels of stakeholder trust, and improved financial performance, suggesting a strong correlation between responsible business practices and positive economic outcomes.

A salient finding underscores the critical role of proactive stakeholder engagement in facilitating the effective integration of sustainability into CSR frameworks. Organizations that actively and strategically engage with a diverse range of stakeholders—such as customers, employees, suppliers, and the communities in which they operate—demonstrate a greater capacity to identify the most pertinent social and environmental issues facing these groups and to incorporate these considerations into their core business strategies. This stakeholder-centric approach not only serves to address the diverse concerns and expectations of key constituent groups but also cultivates a sense of shared value creation, where the organization's long-term success is intrinsically linked to the well-being and prosperity of the broader societal context.

Furthermore, the research highlights the importance of robust environmental stewardship in driving impactful and effective CSR initiatives. Organizations that proactively adopt and implement sustainable practices—such as reducing carbon emissions throughout their value chains, actively minimizing waste generation through circular economy principles, and responsibly conserving natural resources through efficient utilization strategies—are better positioned to gain a competitive advantage by appealing to the growing segment of environmentally conscious consumers and socially responsible investors. These organizations also demonstrate a clear commitment to responsible resource management, which can, in turn, lead to significant cost savings through increased operational efficiency and the adoption of innovative resource utilization strategies.

The investigation also illuminates the crucial role of consistently ethical business practices in forging a strong and sustainable link between CSR and overarching sustainability objectives. Organizations that prioritize ethical decision-making, maintain a high degree of transparency in their operations and communications, and uphold unwavering integrity in their interactions with stakeholders are more likely to cultivate and maintain a strong foundation of trust with their key constituent groups, while simultaneously mitigating potential reputational risks associated with ethical lapses or perceived inconsistencies in their CSR messaging.

Finally, the findings provide compelling evidence that effective reporting mechanisms and transparent communication practices are key determinants in building credibility and fostering accountability in the implementation and evaluation of CSR activities. Organizations that proactively and consistently disclose their sustainability efforts and relevant CSR activities in a transparent, easily accessible, and readily verifiable manner are better positioned to demonstrate their genuine commitment to social and environmental responsibility, which subsequently strengthens stakeholder trust and enhances their overall corporate reputation within the marketplace.

5. Conclusions

The nexus between sustainability and CSR is demonstrably clear and multifaceted in its significance. Sustainability provides a comprehensive and forward-looking framework within which organizations can strategically consider the long-term environmental, social, and economic consequences of their operations, while CSR serves as a crucial mechanism through which businesses can effectively address their inherent ethical responsibilities and, by extension, contribute to the enhancement of overall societal well-being. The synergistic and integrated application of CSR and sustainability principles yields demonstrably positive outcomes, including enhanced corporate reputation, the cultivation of stronger and more meaningful stakeholder engagement, and the ultimate facilitation of long-term business success and organizational resilience.

The principal findings of this investigation suggest that organizations which strategically align their CSR activities with clearly defined and measurable sustainability objectives are demonstrably better positioned to create shared value for both the enterprise itself and the wider societal context in which it operates. Companies that accord a high degree of priority to environmental stewardship, the unwavering adherence to ethical business practices, and robust, proactive stakeholder engagement are significantly more likely to cultivate heightened levels of stakeholder trust, improve operational efficiencies across diverse business functions, and ultimately, to gain a sustainable competitive advantage within the increasingly dynamic and competitive marketplace.

Subsequent research endeavors should focus on comprehensively exploring the specific mechanisms through which sustainability and CSR are strategically integrated into the overarching business strategies of contemporary organizations, as well as conducting detailed investigations into the primary factors that demonstrably influence the ultimate success or, conversely, the potential failure, of such integrated initiatives. Furthermore, additional studies could meaningfully examine the critically important role of effective corporate governance structures in ensuring that CSR activities are strategically aligned with clearly articulated sustainability goals and, moreover, contribute directly to the generation and sustained creation of long-term organizational value.

References:

1. Bhagat, S. and Bolton, B., 2008. Corporate governance and firm performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 14(3), pp.257-273.
2. Brundtland, G. H., 1987. *Our Common Future: Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Carroll, A. B., 1991. The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), pp.39-48.
4. Catană, Ș.-A., Grădinaru, C. and Toma, S.-G., 2020. Sam Walton, a visionary entrepreneur. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 8(16), pp.113-117.
5. Catană, Ș. and Toma, S.-G., 2021a. Marketing mix and corporate social responsibility in automotive industry–Case study: Mazda Motor Corporation. *Annals of the „Constantin Brâncuşi" University of Târgu Jiu, Economy Series*, 1, pp.205-209.
6. Catană, Ș.-A. and Toma, S.-G., 2021b. Marketing mix in healthcare services. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 21(1), pp.485-489.
7. Catană, S., Toma, S.-G. and Barbu, A., 2021. The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on teleworking and education in a Romanian higher education institution: An internal stakeholders perspective, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(1), pp.1-17.
8. Cornescu, V., Curteanu, D., Marinescu P. and Toma, S.-G., 2004. *Management from Theory to Practice.* Bucharest: University of Bucharest Publishing House.
9. Elkington, J., 1997. *Cannibals with Forks: The Triple Bottom Line of 21st Century Business*. Mankato, MI: Capstone Publishing.
10. Freeman, R. E., 1984. *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. New Jersey: Pitman.
11. Gray, R., Kouhy, R. and Lavers, S., 1995. Corporate social and environmental

reporting: A review of the literature and a longitudinal study of UK disclosure. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 8(2), pp.47-77.
12. Kolk, A., 2003. Trends in sustainability reporting by the Fortune Global 250. *Business & Society*, 42(4), pp.414-441.
13. Marinescu, P. and Toma, S.-G., 2008. Implementing Lean Management in the Romanian Industry. In: T. Koch, ed. 2008. *Lean Business Systems and Beyond*. New York: Springer. pp.269-276.
14. Marinescu, P., Toma, S.-G. and Constantin, I., 2010. Social responsibility at the academic level. Study case: The University of Bucharest. *Studies and Scientific Researches - Economic Edition*, 15, pp.404-410.
15. Marinescu, P. and Toma, S.-G., 2015. *Management.* București: Editura Universității din București.
16. Naruo, S. and Toma, S.-G., 2007. From Toyota Production System to Lean Retailing: Lessons from Seven-Eleven Japan. In: J. Olhager and F. Persson, eds. 2007. *Advances in Production Management Systems*. New York: Springer. pp.387-395.
17. Porter, M. E. and Kramer, M. R., 2011. Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), pp.62-77.
18. Tohănean, D. and Toma, S.-G., 2018. Innovation, a key element of business models in the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 6(12), pp.121-130.
19. Toma, S.-G., 2005. Fordism, postfordism and globalization. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 7(17), pp.135-138.
20. Toma, S.-G., 2006. From quality to the corporate social responsibility. *Amfiteatru Economic*, 8(20), pp.145-149.
21. Toma, S.-G., 2008. What is Six Sigma? *Manager*, 8, pp.152–155.
22. Toma, S.-G., Marinescu, P. and Hudea, O.-S., 2010. From the tableau de bord to the balanced scorecard. *Revista Economică*, 4(51), pp.280-285.
23. Toma, S.-G., Stanciu, C. and Irimia, E., 2011a. *Landmarks in the evolution of social responsibility of organizations in the twentieth century*. Proceedings of the 5th International Scientific Session Challenges of the Knowledge Society. Bucharest: PRO Universitaria. pp.1352-1360. [online] Available at: < https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/25886981.pdf > [Accessed 27 April 2025].
24. Toma, S.-G., Burcea, M. and Papuc, R., 2011b. The social responsibility of organizations in times of crisis: The case of Toyota Motor Company. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 11(2), pp.1274-1278.
25. Toma, S.-G. and Hudea, O.S., 2012. Corporate social responsibility, a key element of today's business organizations. The case of airline industry. *Quality-Access to Success*, 13(S3), pp.479-486.
26. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2012. Business models based on corporate social responsibility: The case of global pharmaceutical companies. *Ovidius University Annals- Economic Sciences Series*, 12(1), pp.1221-1225.
27. Toma, S.-G., 2013. *Economia Întreprinderii*. București: Editura Universității din București.
28. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2013. Global strategy: the case of Nissan Motor Company. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 6, pp.418-423.
29. Toma, S.-G., Bratu, A. and Burcea, M., 2013. When business strategy meets creativity. *Network Intelligence Studies*, 2, pp.148-153.

30. Toma, S.-G. and Marinescu, P., 2015. Strategy and change. *Manager*, 21(1), pp.145-150.
31. Toma, S.-G. and Grădinaru, C., 2016. From military strategy to business strategy. *Strategii Manageriale*, 31(1), pp.227-233.
32. Toma, S.-G., Marinescu, P. and Constantin, I., 2016. *Approaches to strategic thinking in business organizations*. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Business Excellence, Academy of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania, pp.184-191. [online] Available at: <https://accord.edu.so/course/material/energy-and-climate-change-289/pdf_content> [Accessed 18 April 2025].
33. Toma, S.-G. and Tohănean, D., 2018. Internet of Things, digitalization and the future of business models. *Strategii Manageriale*, 4(42), pp.130-137.
34. Toma, S.-G., 2019. Sustainable economic development: The case of Taiwan. *Annals of' "Constantin Brâncuși" University of Târgu-Jiu- Economy Series*, 3, pp.206-212.
35. Toma, S.-G. and Tohănean, D., 2019. Green business models: The case of a German automaker. *Quality-Access to Success*, 20(S2), pp.635-640.